



**Missouri Arts Council**  
*The State of the Arts*

# Express Project Grant Review Process Rubric

## Application Scoring Guide

Consider which rubric score/description predominantly fits the proposed project. It doesn't have to match 100%. If the proposal doesn't achieve an acceptable level, you may give a score less than six.

### Artistic Quality

#### Best Score 10

- Clear explanation of the artistic program with specific details (who, what, where, when, and why).
- The qualifications of the primary artist(s) in the Narrative and/or Required Materials are clear and compelling.
- The criteria for selection are clearly explained and based on community need. The selection committee consists of people of relevant experience.

#### Good Score 9-7

- Explanation of artistic program is sufficient with some details provided.
- The qualifications of the primary artists in the Narrative and/or the Required Materials are sufficient.
- The criteria for selection are determined by committee or qualified individual with relevant expertise.

#### Adequate Score 6

- Minimal explanation of the artistic program is provided.
- The qualifications of the primary artists in the Narrative and/or the Required Materials are adequate.
- The criteria for selection are based on adequate artistic quality.

### Community Involvement

#### Best Score 10

- Clear explanation of how the Applicant is part of the community fabric, an institution.
- The community need is well articulated.
- There is active and detailed effort to engage new and underserved audiences and promotes accessibility.
- The educational opportunities for the community are substantial.

#### Good Score 9-7

- Community is significantly involved
- Makes effort to reach new and underserved audiences
- Offers good accessibility accommodations
- Strong community support
- Provides education in a specific form.

#### Adequate Score 6

- Community involvement is minimal
- Some community support

## **Management Ability**

### **Best Score 10**

- High quality of management
- History of financial stability
- Strong financial support
- Diverse sources of support
- Clear alignment with organization mission
- Evidence of planning
- Good record with managing MAC grants

### **Good Score 9-7**

- Clear, accurate, and complete application
- Experienced management
- Financial stability
- History of MAC funding
- Good record with managing MAC grants

### **Adequate Score 6**

- Adequate management
- feasible budget
- complete application
- Addresses any financial issues
- Good record with managing MAC grants